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Abstract
Imaging plays a key role in the assessment of shoulder problems. Given the wide array of radiological options at the clinician’s disposal, selection of proper imaging modalities at different levels or types of shoulder abnormalities may be challenging. We aim in this article to review the various imaging techniques that are available for the evaluation of shoulder problems and to highlight the key points in choosing the relevant imaging examinations. We also mention some of the radiological findings encountered in patients with shoulder problems. Cervical spinal abnormalities, which may sometimes present with shoulder problems, and their imaging assessments are beyond the scope of this review. In many cases, close collaboration with imaging experts is essential in deciding on the imaging approach to shoulder problems in a timely and cost-effective manner. Nevertheless, some imaging algorithms are available for common problems related to the shoulder region.
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The shoulder is the region in the musculoskeletal system with the greatest range of motion and the least stability. Imaging methods that are employed in individuals with shoulder complaints play a significant role in both the diagnosis and treatment. Proper imaging methods should be used in various clinical presentations due to the considerably complex anatomy and biomechanics of the joints and soft tissues that form the shoulder.

In this article, we will first present an outline of imaging methods that are employed in shoulder problems. We will then highlight the key points in choosing relevant imaging methods in the clinical approach to common problems encountered in the shoulder region and will also mention some radiological findings.

An important issue in shoulder problems is the necessity of evaluating disorders of the cervical spine that may cause reflected pain. Therefore, spinal imaging should be performed whenever needed. However, spinal imaging methods are beyond the scope of this review.
Cooperation between the clinicians and the radiologists who are involved in problems of the musculoskeletal system is crucial in order to increase the effectiveness and efficacy of imaging methods. Sharing basic characteristics of a case with the radiologist before imaging studies not only provides a time-saving, cost-effective approach but also increases the likelihood of a correct diagnosis as well.

Deciding on a proper imaging method should take place after obtaining a detailed history and performing a careful physical examination. Every single imaging method has some advantages and disadvantages in various clinical conditions. Acuteness of the injury, injured tissues in question, and age and expectations of the patient should be considered in choosing the proper imaging method. In general, while the purpose of imaging is to exclude the presence of a fracture or a dislocation in acute cases, determining soft tissue injuries that might not be seen with plain films (X-rays) is more important in chronic processes. When necessary, the clinician should seek the help of a radiologist in order to decide about the most proper imaging method.

Imaging methods for the shoulder region

Plain films (X-rays, radiographs)

Plain films are the first-line imaging method to be used for shoulder conditions (1). They are cheap and non-invasive when compared with other imaging methods. Frequently, plain films are all that is needed for the imaging of bone and joint problems. Proper assessment of routine plain films constitutes a guide for choosing further imaging methods. In order to visualize the anatomy of bones and joints, plain films that are obtained in more than one projection are needed (1).

It is a general principle that at least two perpendicular planes that include the affected region should be obtained in order to assess the pathology of the musculoskeletal system. This well-established principle is frequently forgotten at the shoulder region. However, the shoulder is a complex anatomic region. The scapula, which is located at posterolateral portion of the chest, forms a 45° angle with respect to the thorax on the frontal plane. In other words, the longitudinal planes of the glenohumeral joint and the thorax are not parallel. The shoulder joint is in an oblique position on routine anteroposterior (AP) shoulder plain films. AP shoulder plain films that are obtained at the traditional internal and external rotation positions are inadequate in almost all shoulder problems other than calcifications of the rotator cuff. As internal and external rotations of the humerus do not change the position of the scapula against X-rays, plain films of the shoulder joint should be obtained at least in two projections (e.g., AP and lateral). Standard series of shoulder plain films in conditions, like arthritis, trauma, instability, and impingement, should include the AP and actual AP (posterior oblique at 40°, “actual” being with respect to the glenohumeral joint space) projections, axillary view, and the modified scapular Y view, which is also known as the “outlet” view. Additional views may be obtained in specific pathologic conditions of the shoulder region (2,3).

Nowadays, digital plain films have vastly replaced analog X-rays, in which assessment is only possible on the surface of a “hard” film. On the other hand, in digital plain films, where X-rays are converted to digital signals via specific detectors, images may be magnified, their screen contrast can be adjusted, they may be rotated or flipped, and they can be transferred to more than one clinician. These digital data may be easily examined in computer monitors that are connected to a picture archiving and communication system (PACS).

Although plain films provide valuable information about trauma, calcified tendonitis, and arthritis, they are inadequate in diagnoses of bone marrow edema, occult fractures, and particularly pathologic conditions of rotator cuff muscles and tendons and the glenoid labrum.

Anteroposterior (AP) projection

Standard AP radiographs are the main component of shoulder X-ray series. Common use of this projection entails obtaining two AP plain films: the arm is rotated internally on one and externally on the other. As the glenohumeral joint is aligned anterolaterally on a 35°-40° angle, the glenoid and the humeral head overlap on these images (Figure 1A) (4). With such an overlap, only the humeral head is displayed from two different directions on these images. As the other three projections described below will readily show the humeral head adequately from various directions, we suggest that a single AP radiograph in a neutral position of the arm is better than two AP radiographs in the internal and external rotations. A standard AP view of the shoulder shows the glenohumeral and acromioclavicular (AC) joints and distal part of the clavicle.

The following points are assessed on a standard AP shoulder radiograph (5):

- Relation between the humerus and the glenoid cavity is evaluated. The “empty glenoid” sign suggests posterior dislocations. There is an overlap of the glenoid and the humerus in a normal radiograph. In posterior dislocations, this overlap disappears or decreases.
- Relation between the distal clavicle and the acromion is examined.
- The physeal line of the proximal humerus is searched. If this line is visible, it is determined whether there is an abnormality.
- The tendon tracks (particularly the supraspinatus and infraspinatus) are inspected for the presence of calcifications.
- The configuration of the lower surface of the acromion is inspected for the presence of a bony protuberance or osteophyte (6).
- Internal rotation of the humerus may expose a defect at the posteriorlateral aspect of the humeral head. This condition is known as a Hill-Sachs lesion and represents a compression fracture due to repetitive anterior shoulder dislocations.
- The space between the acromion and the humerus is inspected. The width of the space between these two structures is normally 7-14 mm. A decrease in this distance may be an indicator of rotator cuff tears (7).

AP views without and with stress may be used to display injury at the AC joint. The patient holds a load of 5 kilograms with each hand in order to provide traction to the arms. If there is a third degree of AC injury, the so-called “step sign” is seen at the AC space.
Sagittal oblique radiograph shows narrowing of the glenohumeral joint space and the disappearance of the space between the glenoid and the humeral head. It also displays dislocations, subluxations, or compression fractures (including Hill-Sachs lesions) of the humeral head and anterior or posterior glenoid rim fractures. Os acromiale, glenoid erosion, AC joint, and some fractures of the coracoid and the acromion may be seen at the axillary projection in detail.

In cases of acute trauma, abduction of the arm may be so difficult for patients that obtaining axillary radiographs in this valuable projection may not be possible. In these cases, modified axillary radiographs (Velpeau axillary lateral projection, Stripp axial lateral projection, trauma axillary lateral projection) or scapular Y radiographs should be obtained (2). The patient bends slightly forward in one of these “modified” radiographs, and the X-ray beam is sent to the cassette on the wall with a craniocaudal angle of 30°-45° (8).

“Outlet” (modified scapular Y) projection
“Outlet” radiographs display a cross-section of the exit (outlet) of supraspinatus towards the arm and highlight the relation between the subacromial space and the acromion well by showing the undersurface of the acromion (Figure 1D). Morphological structure of the undersurface of the acromion is important for orthopedic surgeons in planning the operation. The information that is obtained from this projection or sagittal oblique cross-sections of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies guides the acromioplasty that is performed as a part of rotator cuff surgery.

The patient stands up in front of the wall in order to form an anterior oblique angle of 60° so that the shoulder of interest is in touch with the cassette, and the X-ray beam is sent with a craniocaudal angle of 15°-30°. That radiograph is a modified version of the scapular Y projection in which the angle of the X-ray beam is adjusted to a craniocaudal angle of 0°-10°. The rationale of the “outlet” radiograph is to overlap the coracoacromial curve and the curve formed by the scapular body and spine on the sagittal plane (Figure 2) and to profile the undersurface of the acromion so as to present optimum information for surgical intervention. Exact positioning is so important that the guidance of fluoroscopy may be needed.

The scapular Y radiograph that forms the basis of the “outlet” projection is also known as a scapulolateral radiograph, trans-scapular radiograph, tangential lateral radiograph, or Y lateral radiograph (9). The abridged version of the “Y view” is used in general. In case of painful shoulder, meticulous positioning is
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Table 1. Recommended series of standard radiographs for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Position and Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP projection in neutral shoulder position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP shoulder view with the arm on that side not in internal or external</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual AP projection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grashey view, where the imaged side is closer to the cassette and is placed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45° posterior obliquely with respect to the cassette</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary projection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiograph obtained with the patient supine or standing, the arm in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70°-90° abduction, and the cassette placed over the shoulder. X-ray beam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is sent towards the axilla caudocranially</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Outlet” projection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified scapular Y projection, where the imaged side is closer to the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cassette and is placed 60° anterior obliquely with respect to the cassette.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray beam is angled 15°-30° caudocranially</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

not needed. It may be obtained while the arm is in medial ro-
tion in an arm support. Thus, obtaining that radiograph in acute
trauma is easier than a lateral axillary radiograph. The cassette
is placed perpendicular to the anterolateral line of the shoulder,
and the X-ray beam is sent to the cassette with a caudocranial
angle of 0°-10° with respect to the transverse axis of the body.
The appearance is a real lateral view of the scapula. Moreover,
the lateral aspect of the glenohumeral joint is visualized.

Lateral projection of the scapula forms the letter “Y.” Upper
arms of the Y are formed by the coracoid process anteriorly
and the scapular spine posteriorly. The vertical arm of the let-
ter is the body of the scapula. The glenoid fossa is located at
the intersection of the three arms. The head of the humerus
overlaps the glenoid fossa in a normal shoulder. Medial and lat-
eral borders of the scapula overlap each other at a successfully
positioned Y radiograph. Y radiographs particularly exhibit the
relation between the humeral head and the glenoid fossa at a
sagittal oblique plane. While the humeral head is displaced to
the anterior (or, more precisely, commonly anteroinferior) as-
pect of the glenoid fossa during anterior shoulder dislocations, it
is displaced posteriorly with respect to the glenoid fossa during
posterior dislocations.

Scapulolateral radiographs do not show the fractures of an-
terior or posterior glenoid rim, but determination of displaced
fractures of the greater tubercle of the humerus is possible. It
provides information about dislocation of the shoulder and frac-
tures of the proximal humerus or the scapula. Hill-Sachs lesions
are seen more clearly on the Y view than on lateral axillary ra-
diographs.

When “outlet” radiographs are combined with actual AP and
lateral axillary radiographs, three different views that are perpen-
dicular to each other are obtained, and the level of information
that may be achieved with plain films about all clinical condi-
tions related to the shoulder reaches the maximum (Table 1,
Figure 1).

Computed tomography
Computed tomography (CT) is a method that provides de-
tailed information about bone structures of the shoulder in a
considerably short time (the whole exam is generally completed
within a few minutes). As very thin axial sections can be ob-
tained, novel views at any desired plane may be reconstituted.
CT is used in the diagnosis of occult or complex fractures of the
glenohumeral joint or the scapula and in the evaluation of frac-
ture dislocations and shoulder prostheses. CT shows complex
fractures, the extent of displacement and angulation, whether
the fracture line reaches the joint surface and causes step-off,
and whether there is a fracture fragment within the joint space.
It is beneficial in determining and monitoring some bone tu-
mors and dysplasias. The possibility of obtaining reformatted
images at any desired plane, like axial oblique, sagittal oblique,
and coronal oblique, and three-dimensional reconstructions fa-
cilitates both interpretation and pre-operative planning. When it
is not possible to perform magnetic resonance (MR) arthrogra-
phy, CT arthrography is being increasingly performed in order
to evaluate the rotator cuff and labrum (10). If any suspicion of a
Bankart lesion arises in an MR imaging (MRI) study, performance
of CT arthrography instead of or in addition to MR arthrogra-
phy is particularly beneficial in ascertaining the presence of the
Bankart lesion and, if present, the dimensions and location of
a bone fragment and in the evaluation of glenoid bone stock.
When there is a bony component of the Bankart lesion, the ex-
clusion of the humeral head from three-dimensional CT images
provides the possibility of viewing the glenoid cavity en face and
gives the opportunity to evaluate the expected benefits of surgi-
cal intervention (Figure 3) (11,12).

Magnetic resonance imaging
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the best method to
show the entire anatomy of shoulder soft tissues. MRI is the
mainstay for imaging assessment of the joint cartilage, labrum,
muscles, tendons, ligaments, and bursae. The rotator cuff, the
biceps tendon, and the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa are visual-
ized clearly. The best imaging method to show bone marrow
is also MRI (it is definitely superior to CT in this regard). MRI
is a sensitive modality in order to evaluate occult fractures of
the bone, stress reactions, bone contusion and edema, occult fractures and erosive changes at the distal clavicle, degenerative changes in the AC joint, the acromion morphology, adhesive capsulitis, muscular atrophy, and other denervation changes of the muscles.

However, MRI has some limitations. The presence of cardiac pacemakers or defibrillators, some aneurysm clips, metallic foreign bodies, cochlear implants, and various electronic materials that are implanted in the body are contraindications for MRI. If there is a suspicion of a metallic foreign body, a plain film should be first obtained for screening. Many orthopedic materials that are implanted are MRI-compatible by the sixth postoperative week. It is suggested that adequate fibrous tissue and bone healing are present in order to protect the material from displacement after 6 weeks (1). If there is a safety concern, the condition should be discussed with the radiologist, and related guidelines should be followed. All models are not tested, and the title of the manufacturer and item number of the implanted material should be known (13). Another limitation of MRI study is claustrophobia. Oral sedation may be administered to adults with claustrophobia. Intravenous conscious sedation should be administered to patients with excessive symptoms and children younger than 6 years of age; vital signs should be monitored during this type of sedation. Although open MRI systems are developed, the resolution capability of these devices is behind conventional MRI systems.

During shoulder MRI examination, the patient should lie supine, and the shoulder should be in a neutral position or in slight external rotation. While internal rotation causes relaxation of the anterior capsular structures—hence, an irregular appearance at this location—excessive external rotation causes difficulty in maintaining the position and can create motion artifacts (1). The shoulder is imaged in three planes during the MRI study (Figure 4). These are sagittal oblique (perpendicular to the supraspinatus tendon), coronal oblique (along the supraspinatus muscle), and axial (i.e., transverse) planes.

Various MRI sequences may be used according to the characteristics of the MRI device. In general, fat-suppressed T2-weighted MRI sequences obtained at the planes defined above are important in the diagnosis of tears. The presence of a fluid-filled gap within or along the tendon is the characteristic MRI finding of a rotator cuff tear (Figure 5). Additionally, sagittal oblique T1-weighted MR images contribute to deciding about
the atrophy at rotator cuff muscle bellies, evaluating fat tissue obliteration within the rotator interval (an important finding for the diagnosis of adhesive capsulitis; Figure 6), and assessing the bone marrow.

The definitive imaging method to assess glenoid labral tears and shoulder instability is MR arthrography (Figure 7). When MR arthrography is not available, the imaging method of choice would be MRI (14). MR arthrography is also the best method to exhibit “superior labrum anterior-to-posterior” (SLAP) lesions, which have various subtypes and form an important subgroup of labral tears (15).

Fat-suppressed T1-weighted MRI sequences following intravenous contrast material administration are important in the evaluation of tumors and inflammatory arthropathies. “Short tau inversion recovery” (STIR) sequence, which provides fat suppression, is particularly important in cases with suspicion of stress reactions or occult fractures (i.e., fractures that are not readily visible on radiographs or even on CT).

Coronal oblique and sagittal oblique planes are ideal to evaluate the rotator cuff (Figure 5). The axial (transverse) plane is ideal to inspect the glenoid labrum, the biceps tendon within its proximal humeral groove, and the subscapularis tendon. While T1-weighted MRI sequences best display the structural anatomy of osseous and fatty tissues, T2-weighted and proton-density MR images are especially important in depicting pathologic conditions of the rotator cuff and joint cartilage and in displaying bone marrow edema (1).

Determining the degree of atrophy in rotator cuff muscle bellies is particularly important in the presence of rotator cuff tendinopathy. The expected benefit from surgery is directly related to the degree of muscle atrophy. Severe atrophy that is usually seen in cases of longstanding tendinopathy limits the benefits of surgery. Goutallier and Fuchs (modified Goutallier) classifications are used in order to evaluate the atrophy degree of rotator cuff muscles on CT or MR images (Table 2, Figure 8).
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Aydinöz et al. (16,17). The problem with these classifications, however, is the interobserver and intraobserver variabilities (18,19), decreasing their reliability.

The injuries of the AC joint may be easily assessed with shoulder MRI. AC joint sprain is usually manifested as fluid or edema within the joint space and edema at the periarticular bone marrow and soft tissues. As coracoclavicular, coracoacromial, and coracohumeral ligaments are readily visible on MRI, it is possible to determine whether injuries of these ligaments accompany the AC joint injury (20).

Post-traumatic distal clavicular osteolysis is another condition that is easily determined with MRI. This condition is seen on MRI as a stress reaction in the form of bone marrow edema/confusion or stress fracture, before characteristic osteolysis of distal clavicle is visible on conventional radiographs (Figure 9) (21).

Ultrasonography

Ultrasonography (US) is not widely used in North America as a shoulder imaging method. As US examinations are generally performed by technologists and are then interpreted by radiologists and evaluating musculoskeletal US necessitates special expertise, MRI is the preferred exam for shoulder imaging in the USA. In Europe, however, musculoskeletal US is the method of choice for the diagnosis of many soft tissue injuries. US exquisitely shows superficial muscle and tendon anatomy. It is a non-invasive and relatively cheap method. An important advantage of US examination is its dynamic nature. Rotator cuff and biceps tendon may be evaluated during the motions of the shoulder. US is particularly beneficial in rotator cuff impingement syndrome and subluxations of the biceps tendon (23-25). The presence of calcific deposits or muscle atrophy can be easily ascertained with US, and the subacromial space may be measured as well. In the presence of shoulder prostheses or other metallic implants, US is invaluable in the diagnosis of soft tissue pathologic conditions.

Ultrasonography may also be employed in order to guide some therapeutic interventions for shoulder problems. In calcified tendinitis of the rotator cuff (Figure 10), which is a common shoulder problem causing severe pain and/or tenderness, Lavage and aspiration with one or two needles under US guidance is one of the treatment options (26,27). Although calcified tendinopathy of the rotator cuff can be a self-limiting condition, some cases do not respond to conservative treatment. Lavage under US guidance is recommended as an effective and minimally invasive treatment option over surgery (26,27).
Joint space. It is considered the gold standard imaging method for the shoulder. It is particularly sensitive in the diagnosis of stress fractures, avascular necrosis, and infections; however, it is not superior to MRI.

As the artifacts created by prostheses degrade MRI images, bone scintigraphy may be used following arthroplasty in order to confirm the presence of infection and to investigate for metastases.

**Arthrography**

Intra-articular injections of contrast materials increase the diagnostic accuracy of conventional radiographs, CT examinations, and MRI studies. In order to access joint space, fluoroscopy or US guidance may be used (expert hands may even prefer blind insertion of the needle into the joint space). The contrast difference and joint space distention that are caused by contrast material help to distinguish normal and injured tissues within the joint. Contrast extravasation into the subacromial-subdeltoid space is seen with full-thickness tears of the rotator cuff tendons.

**Conventional arthrography**

In this method, plain films are taken following the injection of a radiopaque contrast material into the joint. This method may be used in the diagnosis of frozen shoulder. Shoulder capsule distention during arthrography may help in the treatment as well. Nevertheless, diagnostic arthrography consisting only of fluoroscopic images and plain films (without a follow-on MRI and/or CT examination) is almost completely obsolete now.

**Magnetic resonance arthrography**

Magnetic resonance arthrography is performed following the injection of a gadolinium-based contrast material into the joint space. It is considered the gold standard imaging method in the evaluation of shoulder instability and labral tears (Figure 7) (28,29). In addition, if there is suspicion of a rotator cuff tear and conventional MRI examination is inconclusive, MR arthrography should be performed. Gadolinium-based contrast drugs may increase the risk for the development of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in individuals who have significant renal impairment (i.e., glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min). If contrast administration (intravenous or intra-articular) is needed in individuals with renal impairment, hemodialysis may be performed following the MRI study.

**Computed tomography arthrography**

Computed tomography arthrography is performed when MR arthrography is contraindicated (e.g., as in the presence of a pacemaker or MR-incompatible implants or claustrophobia), when MR arthrography is not available, or when there are surgical materials around the joint that cause artifacts on MR images. With the advent of multi-detector CT systems, supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendon tears may be as distinctively diagnosed with CT arthrography as with MR arthrography (Figure 11) (30).

Computed tomography arthrography is less sensitive than MR arthrography in the evaluation of labral tears and shoulder instability (31). Nevertheless, CT arthrography is better than MRI and MR arthrography in ascertaining both the presence and dimensions of osseous Bankart lesions.

The American College of Radiology recommends some criteria in order to choose imaging modalities in certain clinical scenarios (32). These criteria are determined on the basis of the duration of the symptoms, the age of the patient, and suspected clinical diagnosis. Radiologic evaluation of the painful shoulder is also addressed elsewhere (33).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, a differential diagnosis should be considered in patients with shoulder problems according to the patient’s history and physical examination findings, and a decision on the proper imaging method should then be made.

Plain films are the first imaging method for most shoulder problems.

Ultrasonography of the shoulder requires special expertise and is not commonly used worldwide. Its use is mostly limited to the evaluation of the rotator cuff and biceps tendon and bursae around the shoulder.

Computed tomography examination is preferred in cases with fractures and fracture dislocations and in shoulders with prostheses. Three-dimensional CT reconstructions may aid in planning surgical intervention.

Magnetic resonance arthrography (or MR arthrography) is the modality of choice to evaluate all shoulder soft tissues and bone marrow. However, an attempt should always be made for the clinical correlation of abnormal findings detected on MRI.

---

*Reprinted with permission of the American College of Radiology. No other representation of this material is authorized without expressed, written permission from the American College of Radiology. Refer to the ACR website at www.acr.org/Quality-Safety/Standards-Guidelines for the most current and complete version of the ACR Practice Guidelines.*
Appendix

Excerpt from the American College of Radiology-Society of Skeletal Radiology (ACR-SSR) Practice Guideline for MRI of the Shoulder (reprinted with permission from reference 22).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an established and proven imaging modality for the detection, evaluation, assessment, staging, and follow-up of disorders of the shoulder. Properly performed and interpreted, MRI contributes not only to diagnosis but also to treatment planning and prognostication. However, it should be performed only for a valid medical reason and after careful consideration of alternative diagnostic modalities.

Magnetic resonance imaging of the shoulder may be performed without contrast, following intra-articular contrast injection (“direct” MR arthrography) to increase conspicuity of intra-articular abnormalities, or with intravenous contrast to identify hyperemic lesions or to create “indirect” arthrographic images by enhancing synovial-lined structures and their contents.

An analysis of the strengths and potential risks of MRI and other diagnostic modalities should be weighed against their suitability for specific patients and particular clinical conditions. Radiographs usually are the first imaging test performed for most suspected abnormalities in the shoulder and will often suffice to diagnose or exclude an abnormality or will direct further imaging evaluation. Radionuclide bone scanning can screen the entire skeleton in addition to the shoulder for radiographically occult bone disease, such as metastases. Other nuclear medicine examinations have a role for specific clinical scenarios (e.g., a labeled white blood cell study for suspected osteomyelitis).

Conventional single-contrast or double-contrast arthrography can accurately depict most articular surface and full-thickness tears of the rotator cuff. Sonography can be used to evaluate the rotator cuff and biceps tendon and has the advantage of imaging during physiologic motion. Computed tomography (CT) is used to evaluate the bone integrity of the glenoid fossa and humerus and the alignment and congruence of the glenohumeral joint. When combined with arthrography, CT can also be used for evaluating the labrum, articular cartilage, and loose bodies. Lastly, arthroscopy provides a detailed examination of the internal structures of the shoulder, allowing the surgeon to treat as well as diagnose many internal derangements.

While MRI is one of the most sensitive diagnostic tests for detecting anatomic abnormalities of the extremities, findings may be misleading if not closely correlated with other imaging studies, clinical history, clinical examination, and physiologic tests. Adherence to the following guideline will enhance the probability of accurately diagnosing such abnormalities.

**Indications**

A. Primary indications for MRI of the shoulder include, but are not limited to, diagnosis, exclusion, and grading of suspected:

1. Rotator cuff tendon abnormalities: full-thickness, partial-thickness, and recurrent (postoperative) tears; tendinopathy; tendinitis; and cuff tear arthropathy.

2. Disorders of the long head of the biceps brachii: full-thickness, partial-thickness, and recurrent (postoperative) tears; tendinopathy; tendinitis; subluxation; and dislocation.

3. Conditions affecting the supraspinatus outlet: acromial shape, os acromiale, subacromial spurs, acromioclavicular joint disorders, coracoacromial ligament integrity, subacromial bursitis.

4. Labral abnormalities: cysts, degeneration, and tears, including superior labrum anterior posterior (SLAP) lesions, Bankart lesions and their variants, and recurrent (postoperative) labral tears.

5. Abnormalities of the rotator interval and biceps pulley.

6. Muscle disorders affecting the shoulder girdle: atrophy, hypertrophy, denervation, masses, injuries.

7. Glenohumeral chondral and osteochondral abnormalities: osteochondral fractures and osteochondritis dissecans, articular cartilage degeneration, fissures, fractures, flaps, and separations.

8. Intra-articular bodies.


10. Marrow abnormalities: osteonecrosis, marrow edema syndromes, and stress fractures.

11. Neoplasms, masses, and cysts of bone, joint, or soft tissue.

12. Infections of bone, joint, or soft tissue.

13. Congenital and developmental conditions, including dysplasia and normal variants.


15. Neurologic conditions: entrapment, compression, masses, and peripheral neuritis.

B. Magnetic resonance imaging of the shoulder may be indicated to further clarify and stage conditions diagnosed clinically and/or suggested by other imaging modalities, including, but not limited to:

1. Arthritides: inflammatory, infectious, neuropathic, degenerative, crystal-induced, post-traumatic.

2. Frozen shoulder and adhesive capsulitis.

3. Primary and secondary bone and soft tissue tumors.

4. Fractures and dislocations.

C. Magnetic resonance imaging of the shoulder may be useful to evaluate specific clinical scenarios, including, but not limited to:

1. Prolonged, refractory, or unexplained shoulder pain.

2. Acute shoulder trauma.

3. Impingement syndromes: subacromial, subcoracoid, internal.

4. Glenohumeral instability: chronic, recurrent, subacutec, and acute dislocation and subluxation.

5. Shoulder symptoms in the overhead or throwing athlete.


7. Limited or painful range of motion.

8. Swelling, enlargement, mass, or atrophy.

9. Patients for whom diagnostic or therapeutic arthroscopy is planned.

10. Patients with recurrent, residual, or new symptoms following shoulder surgery.

---

*S76 Conditions in which intra-articular contrast (performed by direct intra-articular injection or indirect joint opacification following intravenous administration) may be useful.

*Conditions in which intravenous contrast may be useful.
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