Side differences of the lateral abdominal wall in supine rest position in mild adolescent idiopathic thoracolumbar scoliosis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to evaluate the thickness of the abdominal muscles on both sides in patients with mild adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) and to assess the absolute and relative thickness of oblique external (OE), oblique internal (OI), and transversus abdominis (TrA).

Patients and methods: A total of 84 participants underwent ultrasonographic examination of the abdominal muscles in the supine resting position. All participants were divided into two groups including AIS group (n=42) and control group (n=42). The absolute and relative thicknesses of OE, OI, and TrA were recorded.

Results: In the AIS group, the TrA on the left side was significantly thicker by 0.30 mm (95% CI 0.01-0.7) than the right side. For relative values, the percentage contribution to the structure of the lateral abdominal wall of the OE on the right and the TrA on the left was significantly higher by 3.2% (95% CI 0.9-5.5) and 3.1% (95% CI 1.1-5.0), respectively, in the AIS group.

Conclusion: Our study results show that, in the supine resting position, the muscles of the lateral abdominal wall are thinner in AIS patients. In addition, side-to-side differences in the percentage contribution of the OE and TrA to the structure of the lateral abdominal wall are seen in this patient population, although these differences are independent of the direction of the scoliosis.
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Thoracolumbar scoliosis is a common type of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS), which is a three-dimensional deformity of the spine. Some authors suggest that deformity of the spine may be a result of the weakening or inappropriate functioning of the abdominal muscles. Biomechanical studies have shown that the abdominal muscles play a significant role in the stabilization of the spine. The transversus abdominis (TrA), the deepest abdominal muscle, is responsible for maintaining the neutral curvature and stiffness of the lumbar spine, and the more superficial oblique external (OE) and internal muscles function depending on the external forces created and generates a torque to preserve the stability of the trunk.

Ultrasonography (USG) has often been used for the quantitative assessment of the lateral abdominal wall in a healthy population and in individuals with low back pain. It has been demonstrated that the muscle thickness quantified by USG is associated with the level of activity as assessed by electromyography and is similar to the muscle thickness estimated by magnetic resonance imaging. Therefore, the size of the muscle may provide an indirect measurement of force-generating capacity, as demonstrated for various muscles.

To the best of our knowledge, there is a lack of studies about the characteristic of the lateral abdominal muscles in AIS patients. The only available studies have demonstrated that the thickness of all lateral abdominal muscles in the supine rest position is different in AIS patients. In the reports published, however, the authors found no possible side-to-side differences between the body sides. However, the appearance of any side-to-side differences in the thickness of the individual abdominal muscles during the stabilization of the trunk can induce a muscle
imbalance that, in turn, causes micro-injuries in the facet joints or intervertebral discs. [13] This has been investigated as the reason for the development of AIS.

The USG studies confirm the asymmetry and morphological changes in the paraspinal muscles in AIS patients. [14] Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to evaluate the thickness of the abdominal muscles on both sides in patients with mild adolescent idiopathic thoracolumbar scoliosis and to answer the following questions: Are there any differences in the absolute thickness of specific abdominal muscles? Are there any differences in the percentage of the contribution of specific muscles to the building of the lateral wall of the abdomen? Are any side-to-side differences associated with the direction of scoliosis?

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of 84 participants was divided into two groups: 42 adolescents without scoliosis (control group) and 42 patients with AIS (AIS group). Participants in the control group were recruited randomly from public schools, whereas the patients with AIS were recruited from a corrective exercise center. The control group included individuals without any signs of scoliosis upon screening and no trunk rotation in the Adam’s forward bend test using a scoliometer, which is used in clinical studies of children with scoliosis. [15] The AIS group included individuals in whom an orthopedist diagnosed single-curve idiopathic scoliosis, in which the apex was at the thoracic spine, level 12 (Th12), L1, or at the intervertebral Th12/L1 disc level (confirmed by X-ray), i.e., thoracolumbar scoliosis according to the Ponseti’s classification. [16] According to the Guidelines of the International Scientific Society on Scoliosis Orthopedic and Rehabilitation Treatment, a 5° error in the measurements of Cobb angle should be taken into account; therefore, those with a Cobb angle of ≥5° were included in this study. [17] All subjects (in both groups) were free of any documented spinal surgery or other surgical treatment, and any cardiovascular or neurological disease. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The study protocol was also approved by the local Ethics Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

USG examination

A real-time USG B scanner (Mindray DP-6600 Digital Ultrasonic Diagnostic Imaging System) (Medical Corp., Redmond, USA) with a 60 mm wide 75L38EA linear array transducer (5.0/7.5/10 MHz) was used to obtain images of the muscles. The penetration depth was 5.39 cm at a sampling frequency of 7.5 MHz. The transducer was always placed on the anterolateral wall of the abdomen, between the iliac crest and the inferior boundary of the 12th rib, perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the body. The transducer was also always placed at the same altitude and distance from the musculofascial junction of the TrA during examination on the right and left body side of a subject.

Measurements of the muscle thickness were collected in the supine position, where the knees were extended, the upper limbs were placed along the sides of the trunk, and the head was positioned on a pillow. The thickness was measured in millimeters (mm) at the end of normal expiration. All images were captured and measured offline. In previous studies, the reliability of the USG of the lateral abdominal wall in adolescents was evaluated, and analysis revealed that the use of the mean value of three measurements was characterized by high reliability. [6-8,18]

Statistical analysis

The t-test for independent measurements was used to compare basic parameters including age, height, and body weight in the population examined. The mean values from three trials for a given person on a given side were used for further analysis. Descriptive data were expressed in mean and standard deviation (SD). 95% confidence interval (CI) for absolute and relative muscle sizes was calculated. Relative thickness of each muscle was expressed in percentage of the total muscle thickness.

The homogeneity of variance was checked and subsequently confirmed using the Levene’s test. Differences between the groups (control vs AIS or scoliosis right-handed vs scoliosis left-handed) and body side (right vs left) in each case were examined using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using two between-group factors. In the presence of a significant effect, a post-hoc Tukey analysis was performed. For each ANOVA component (main effect or interaction), eta-squared effect size and test power were computed. Eta-squared is a measure of effect size calculated according to the guidelines of Cohen (0.01 = small effect, 0.06 = moderate effect, 0.14 = large effect). A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All data were analyzed using the Statistica software version 12PL (StatSoft, Inc.; Tulsa, OK, USA).
RESULTS

Subjects

In the examined population, there were no significant differences between the two groups for any of basic variables (Table 1). Single-curve thoracolumbar scolioses were, however, detected in the AIS group. In 64.3% of the patients, left scoliosis was found with a mean Cobb angle of $10.7\pm3.3^\circ$. The other 35.7% of the patients had right scoliosis with a mean value of $10\pm3.4^\circ$. No significant differences in the Cobb angles were found between right and left scoliosis ($p>0.05$).

Absolute muscle thickness

There was a significant difference in the OE, OI, and TrA thickness between the groups (Table 2). For the OE thickness, the post-hoc analyses revealed that the OE was thicker by 0.98 mm in the control group (95% CI 0.6-1.3) than the AIS group. For the OI thickness, the post-hoc analysis demonstrated that the OI was thicker by 1.7 mm in the control group (95% CI 1.2-2.1) than the AIS group. Additionally, the TrA in the control group was thicker by 0.81 (95% CI 0.5-1.1) than the AIS group. However, there was no significant difference between the body sides (Figure 1a).

The main effect of the scoliosis direction and the interaction (between the direction of the scoliosis and body side) did not significantly affect the thickness of any abdominal muscles examined (Table 3). Only the main effect of the body side was found to be significant for the OE and TrA. The OE on the right side was thicker by 0.4 mm (95% CI 0.03-0.8) and the TrA on the right side was thinner by 0.3 mm (95% CI 0.01-0.7). There was no significant difference between the scoliosis directions (Figure 2).

Relative muscle thickness

Significant interactions between the body side and the group were observed in terms of the percentage contribution of the OE and TrA to the building of the lateral wall of the abdomen (Table 2). As demonstrated by the post-hoc analysis, the percentage contribution of the OE on the right was higher by 3.2% (95% CI 0.9-5.5) than the left, and the percentage contribution of the TrA on the left was higher by 3.1% (95% CI 1.1-5.0) than the right. There were significant differences only in the AIS group (Figure 1b).

Table 3 shows that only the main effect of the body side proved significant for the OE and TrA, and the OE on the right side and the TrA on the left side had a higher percentage contribution to the building of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control groups (n=42)</th>
<th>AIS groups (n=42)</th>
<th>Difference between groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n % Mean±SD</td>
<td>n % Mean±SD</td>
<td>t-test p level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>12.4±1.5</td>
<td>12.5±1.8</td>
<td>-0.2 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>48.1±12.7</td>
<td>46.9±11.8</td>
<td>0.4 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>158.4±11.1</td>
<td>157.5±12.3</td>
<td>0.3 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of boys (%)</td>
<td>27 64.3</td>
<td>27 64.3</td>
<td>- 1.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of girls (%)</td>
<td>15 35.7</td>
<td>15 35.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation; † Differences between the groups was evaluated using the Fisher’s exact test.

| OE: Obliquus externus abdominis; * Statistically significant difference; OI: Obliquus internus abdominis; TrA: Transversus abdominis. Relative thickness expressed in percentage of total thickness of all three muscles. P value, Eta-squared and power from analysis of variance. |
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The primary goal of this study was to make a side-to-side comparison of the thickness (actual and relative) of the lateral abdominal muscles in adolescents with a mild thoracolumbar scoliosis compared to control group. Our study results indicated that, among all the lateral abdominal muscles, the muscles with a significant side-to-side difference were the OE and TrA in the AIS group. In addition, the analysis of the relative thickness showed that, in the AIS group, the percentage contribution to the building of the lateral abdominal wall of the OE on the right and TrA on the left was more than 3%, indicating a higher ratio than the contribution of the corresponding muscles on the other side. However, such differences were not observed in the control group. In addition, we found that the OE, OI, and TrA on both sides are significantly thinner in the AIS group.

To the best of our knowledge, it is difficult to explain why the lateral abdominal muscles are thinner...
with varying percentage contribution and higher asymmetry of the OE and TrA muscle thickness in AIS patients. This is due to the lack of studies on the role and function of the abdominal muscles in AIS patients. The available data have shown that the body weight in adolescents has the highest effect on muscle thickness, and is the most consistent unique predictor of OE, OI, and TrA thickness variance, while the second best predictor is sex.\[6\] In this study, body weight was taken into account and its distribution was similar in both groups studied. Sex was also considered (the ratio of the number of boys to girls was identical in both groups). It is also well-known that practicing sport and physical activity significantly affect the thickness of the lateral abdominal muscles.\[19,20\] However, the level of physical activity of the individuals was unable to be evaluated. The AIS group was composed of individuals who regularly participated in obligatory corrective exercises; despite this, their abdominal muscles were significantly thinner than those of the control group.

Considering that the size of the muscle may provide an indirect measurement of force-generating capacity,\[11\] we can hypothesize that the muscles of the lateral abdominal wall cannot generate sufficient force to stabilize the pelvis and spine during various daily activities in AIS patients, as TrA generates 40% of the force necessary for the tension of the thoracolumbar fascia and along with the OI and significantly increases the stabilization of the sacroiliac joints.\[21\] This issue has already been addressed in the studies in which similar differences in the thickness of the abdominal muscles were noticed in AIS patients. However, unlike in this report, different types of scoliosis were analyzed together.\[2\]

Regarding the characteristics of scoliosis, side-to-side differences may prove to be more important in terms of the absolute and relative abdominal muscle thicknesses. The normative data on a healthy adolescent population indicated that there are no significant side-to-side differences in the lateral abdominal muscle thicknesses.\[4\] Thus, the asymmetry in the OE and TrA thickness in the AIS group has shown changes in characteristic of the lateral abdominal wall in the AIS population. In addition, if percentage relative values of the thickness of lateral abdominal muscles are compared, next significant differences are observed in the AIS group, in which the percentage contribution of the OE on the right and TrA on the left side is higher. Such differences were not observed in the control group or in the studies performed in the healthy population.\[6\] More interestingly, the differences for both muscles are similar. It seems that in AIS patients, a greater percentage role of the TrA on the left is compensated by the OE on the right (or vice versa). However, no significant differences were observed in the AIS group between the directions of scoliosis, which does not support a possible theory of a specific (associated with the direction of the scoliosis) type of abdominal muscle asymmetry, as in case of the multifidus muscle.\[14\]

Due to a significant lack of studies of the structure of the abdominal muscles in AIS patients and due to the results of the current studies, further studies in this area are required. An analysis of more advanced scoliosis with different locations would probably reveal certain unequivocal asymmetry patterns which can contribute to a better understanding of this disorder. It would be also worthwhile to conduct this type of analysis at higher body positions, where the reflex activity of the abdominal muscles studied is higher. Nonetheless, these studies are associated with certain limitations including lack of X-rays in the control group, the lack of information concerning physical activity of the participants, and mild scoliosis degree in the AIS group. In certain situations (in which the Cobb angle was below a 10 degree) scoliotic postures rather than scoliosis were examined. Additionally, it is likely that, in AIS patients with a higher Cobb degree, the lateral abdominal muscles can be more affected. However, our study allows us to suppose that the changes in the lateral abdominal muscles in patients with spinal deviation are prior to structural changes, which are typical for more advanced scoliosis.

In conclusion, in the supine resting position, the muscles of the lateral abdominal wall are thinner in AIS patients. In addition, side-to-side differences in the percentage contribution of the OE and TrA to the structure of the lateral abdominal wall are seen in this patient population. However, these differences are independent of the direction of the scoliosis.
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